# Pursuits and Challenges Towards Simulation-free Training of Neural Sampler

Jiajun He & Yuanqi Du @MILA Sampling Reading Group

Collaborators and Supervisors:

Francisco Vargas, Dinghuai Zhang, Shreyas Padhy, RuiKang OuYang, Carla Gomes, José Miguel Hernández-Lobato

Unnormalized density function:

$$p_{\text{target}}(x) = \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{Z}, \qquad Z = \int \tilde{p}(x) dx$$

Obtain sample  $x \sim p_{target}$ .

Unnormalized density function:

$$p_{\text{target}}(x) = \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{Z}, \qquad Z = \int \tilde{p}(x) dx$$

Obtain sample  $x \sim p_{target}$ .

 $\leftarrow$  Bayesian inference:  $p_{\text{target}} \propto \text{likelihood} \times \text{prior}$ 

Unnormalized density function:

$$p_{\text{target}}(x) = \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{Z}, \qquad Z = \int \tilde{p}(x) dx$$

Obtain sample  $x \sim p_{target}$ .

← Bayesian inference:  $p_{target}$  ∝ likelihood × prior ← Boltzmann distribution (molecules, etc):  $p_{target}$  ∝ exp( $-\beta U$ )

Unnormalized density function:

$$p_{\text{target}}(x) = \frac{\tilde{p}(x)}{Z}, \qquad Z = \int \tilde{p}(x) dx$$

Obtain sample  $x \sim p_{target}$ .

✓ Bayesian inference:  $p_{target}$  ∝ likelihood × prior
 ✓ Boltzmann distribution (molecules, etc):  $p_{target}$  ∝ exp(-βU)
 ✓ Rare event:  $p_{target}(x) \propto \mathbf{1}_B(x)p(x)$ 

Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

For example, unadjusted Langevin dynamics:

 $\mathrm{d}X_t = \nabla \log \tilde{p}(X_t) \mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2} \mathrm{d}W_t$ 

Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

For example, unadjusted Langevin dynamics:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \nabla \log \tilde{p}(X_t) \mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2} \mathrm{d}W_t$$

dependent samples; auto-correlation reduces efficiency sample size

Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

For example, unadjusted Langevin dynamics:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \nabla \log \tilde{p}(X_t) \mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2} \mathrm{d}W_t$$

dependent samples; auto-correlation reduces efficiency sample size
ergodicity; only guarantee convergence with infinite steps

#### **Neural samplers**

Train a neural network to amortize the sampling process

#### **Neural samplers**

Train a neural network to amortize the sampling process

independent samples!

🙄 can mix in finite time

### **Neural samplers**

Train a neural network to amortize the sampling process

independent samples!can mix in finite time

Neural samplers are in fact generative models:



Train a diffusion (like) model

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = f_\theta(X_t, t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma\sqrt{2}\mathrm{d}W_t,$$

Train a diffusion (like) model

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = f_\theta(X_t, t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma\sqrt{2}\mathrm{d}W_t,$$

transporting samples from  $p_{prior}$  to  $p_{target}$ :

$$X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}}$$
, and want  $X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}$ 

**1. Time-reversal sampler** 

$$dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}},$$

Want:  $X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}$ 

**1. Time-reversal sampler** 

$$dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}}$$

Set a target process:  $dY_t = g(Y_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t$ ,  $Y_0 \sim p_{target}$ ,

**1. Time-reversal sampler** 

$$dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}},$$

Set a target process: 
$$dY_t = g(Y_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, Y_0 \sim p_{target}$$

a simple function, e.g., 0

$$dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}},$$
  
align  
Set a target process:  
$$dY_t = g(Y_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, Y_0 \sim p_{\text{target}},$$
  
a simple function, e.g., 0

$$dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}},$$
  
align  
$$X_t \sim Y_{T-t}$$
  
Set a target process: 
$$dY_t = g(Y_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, Y_0 \sim p_{\text{target}},$$
  
a simple function, e.g., 0

$$dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}},$$
  
align  
$$X_t \sim Y_{T-t}$$
  
$$X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}$$
  
Set a target process:  
$$dY_t = g(Y_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, Y_0 \sim p_{\text{target}},$$
  
a simple function, e.g., 0

#### 1. Time-reversal sampler

#### Want a sample process (prior to target),

 $dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}},$ 

Set a target process: 
$$dY_t = g(Y_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, Y_t \sim p_{target},$$

a simple function, e.g., 0



#### 1. Time-reversal sampler

# Want a sample process (prior to target), To be the time-reversal, of a simple process (target to prior)

#### 1. Time-reversal sampler

#### This includes

- (1) DDS (denoising diffusion sampler)
- (2) PIS (path integral sampler)
- (3) DIS (diffusion time-reversal sampler)
- (4) GFlowNet (generative flow network)
- (5) iDEM (iterated denoising energy matching)
- (6) RDMC (reversal diffusion monte carlo)
- (7) PINN (physics-informed neural networks) sampler

Any other ways?

2. Escorted transport sampler

2. Escorted transport sampler

$$dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}}$$

Want:  $X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}$ 

2. Escorted transport sampler

$$dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}}$$
,

define a sequence of interpolants  $\pi_t$ :  $\pi_0 = p_{\text{prior}}, \pi_T = p_{\text{target}}$ 

2. Escorted transport sampler

$$dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}},$$

define a sequence of interpolants  $\pi_t$ :  $\pi_0 = p_{\text{prior}}, \pi_T = p_{\text{target}}$ 

If marginal of 
$$X_t \sim \pi_t$$
  $\longrightarrow$   $X_T \sim p_{target}$ 

#### 2. Escorted transport sampler

Want a sample process (prior to target),

 $dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}},$ 

define a sequence of interpolants  $\pi_t$ :  $\pi_0 = p_{\text{prior}}, \pi_T = p_{\text{target}}$ 

If marginal of  $X_t \sim \pi_t$   $\longrightarrow$   $X_T \sim p_{target}$ 

#### 2. Escorted transport sampler

Want a sample process (prior to target),

 $\mathrm{d}X_t = f_\theta(X_t, t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma\sqrt{2}\mathrm{d}W_t, X_0 \sim p_{\mathrm{prior}},$ 

#### whose marginal densities aligns with

define a sequence of interpolants  $\pi_t$ :  $\pi_0 = p_{\text{prior}}, \pi_T = p_{\text{target}}$ 

If marginal of  $X_t \sim \pi_t$   $\longrightarrow$   $X_T \sim p_{target}$ 

#### 2. Escorted transport sampler

Want a sample process (prior to target),

 $dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma \sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}},$ 

#### whose marginal densities aligns with

define a sequence of interpolants  $\pi_t$ :  $\pi_0 = p_{prior}$ ,  $\pi_T = p_{target}$ pre-defined interpolants between prior and target

If marginal of  $X_t \sim \pi_t$   $\longrightarrow$   $X_T \sim p_{target}$ 

#### 2. Escorted transport sampler

#### This includes

- (1) CMCD (Controlled Monte Carlo Diffusions)
- (2) NETS (non-equilibrium transport sampler)
- (3) PINN (physics-informed neural networks) sampler
- (4) LFIS (Liouville Flow Importance Sampler)

• • •

Any other ways?

3. Annealed variance reduction sampler
3. Annealed variance reduction sampler

$$dX_t = f_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}}$$

Want:  $X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}$ 

#### 3. Annealed variance reduction sampler



Want:  $X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}$ 

#### 3. Annealed variance reduction sampler



 $X_T \neq p_{\text{target}}$ 

$$dX_t = f(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}},$$

$$dX_t = g(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t^t, X_T \sim p_{\text{target}},$$

$$dX_t = f(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}}, \quad \clubsuit \quad \vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$$

$$dX_t = g(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t^t, X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}, \implies \overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}(X)$$

$$dX_t = f(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}}, \quad \clubsuit \quad \vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$$

$$dX_t = g(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t^t, X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}, \implies \overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}(X)$$

Importance weight: 
$$\frac{d\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{d\dot{\mathbf{P}}(X)}$$

$$dX_t = f(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}}, \quad \clubsuit \quad \vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$$

$$dX_t = g_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_t^t, X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}, \implies \overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}}(X)$$

Importance weight: 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}}(X)}$$

Align  

$$dX_{t} = f(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_{t}, X_{0} \sim p_{\text{prior}}, \quad \clubsuit \quad \vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$$

$$dX_{t} = g_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{t}, X_{T} \sim p_{\text{target}}, \quad \clubsuit \quad \overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}}(X)$$

Importance weight: 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}}(X)}$$

Align  
Align  

$$dX_{t} = f(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_{t}, X_{0} \sim p_{\text{prior}}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$$

$$dX_{t} = g_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{t}, X_{T} \sim p_{\text{target}}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}}(X)$$
Small variance  
Importance weight: 
$$\frac{d\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{d\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}}(X)}$$

#### 3. Annealed variance reduction sampler

Predefine a sample process (prior to target),

 $dX_{t} = f(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_{t}, X_{0} \sim p_{\text{prior}}, \quad \blacksquare \quad \mathbf{Q}(X)$   $dX_{t} = g_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{t}, X_{T} \sim p_{\text{target}}, \quad \blacksquare \quad \mathbf{P}_{\theta}(X)$ 

variance wriance weight:  $\frac{d\vec{Q}(X)}{d\dot{P}_{\theta}(X)}$ 

#### 3. Annealed variance reduction sampler

Predefine a sample process (prior to target),

define or train a backward process (target to prior),

 $dX_t = g_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma \sqrt{2} dW_t^t, X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}, \implies \overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}}(X)$ 

**Small variance** 

#### 3. Annealed variance reduction sampler

Predefine a sample process (prior to target),

define or train a backward process (target to prior),

 $dX_t = g_{\theta}(X_t, t)dt + \sigma \sqrt{2} dW_t^t, X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}, \implies \overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}}(X)$ perform importance sampling

Small variance

#### 3. Annealed variance reduction sampler

#### This includes

- (1) AIS (Annealed Importance Sampling)
- (2) MCD (Monte Carlo Diffusion)
- (3) LDVI (Langevin Diffusion Variational Inference)

• • •

1. Time-reversal sampler

2. Escorted transport sampler

1. Time-reversal sampler

2. Escorted transport sampler

3. Annealed variance reduction sampler

These are design choices for the sampling processes

1. Time-reversal sampler

- 2. Escorted transport sampler
- 3. Annealed variance reduction sampler

These are design choices for the sampling processes But **how to train them?** 

1. Time-reversal sampler

- 2. Escorted transport sampler
- 3. Annealed variance reduction sampler

These are design choices for the sampling processes But **how to train them?** 

a. path-measure alignment

a. path-measure alignment

For any desired process  $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$  or  $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(X)$ 

a. path-measure alignment

```
For any desired process \vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X) or \vec{\mathbf{Q}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(X)
```

we can write down its desired time-reversal  $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}(X)$  or  $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}_{\theta}(X)$ ,

a. path-measure alignment

For any desired process 
$$\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$$
 or  $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}_{\theta}(X)$   
we can write down its desired time-reversal  $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}(X)$  or  $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}}(X)$ ,  $\overset{\text{align}}{\longrightarrow}$ 

a. path-measure alignment

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\mathbf{\overleftarrow{P}}] = \mathrm{E}_{\vec{\mathbf{Q}}}\left[\log\frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\overleftarrow{P}}(X)}\right]$$

$$D_{\mathrm{LV}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\mathbf{\widetilde{P}}] = \mathrm{Var}_{\vec{\pi}} \left[ \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\widetilde{P}}(X)} \right]$$

$$D_{\rm TB}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathbf{E}_{\vec{\pi}} \left[ \left( \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}(X)} - k \right)^2 \right]$$

Other choices exist, including sub-TB, DB, etc...

b. marginal alignment

b. marginal alignment

For any desired process  $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$  or  $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(X)$ 

b. marginal alignment

For any desired process  $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$  or  $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(X)$ 

we can write down its desired marginal  $q_t(X_t)$ ,

b. marginal alignment

For any desired process 
$$\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$$
 or  $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(X)$ 

align

we can write down its desired marginal  $q_t(X_t)$ ,

#### b. marginal alignment

Score matching with Score estimator

PINN

...

Action matching

	Time-reversal sampler	Escorted transport sampler	Annealed Variance Reduction Sampler
Path measure alignment	DDS, DIS, PIS, GFN	CMCD, SLCD	MCD
Marginal alignment	iDEM, RDMC, PINN- sampler	NETS, PINN- sampler, LFIS	

Let's look at the loss again, for example:

Let's look at the loss again, for example:

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathrm{E}_{\vec{\mathbf{Q}}}\left[\log\frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}(X)}\right]$$

$$D_{\mathrm{LV}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathrm{Var}_{\vec{\pi}}\left[\log\frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}(X)}\right]$$

$$D_{\rm TB}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}] = E_{\vec{\pi}} \left[ \left( \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}}(X)} - k \right)^2 \right]$$

Let's look at the loss again, for example:

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\vec{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathbf{F}_{\vec{\mathbf{Q}}} \left[\log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{P}}(X)}\right]$$
$$D_{\mathrm{LV}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\vec{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathrm{Var}_{\vec{\pi}} \left[\log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{P}}(X)}\right]$$

$$D_{\rm TB}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\mathbf{\overleftarrow{P}}] = \mathbf{\overleftarrow{R}} \left[ \left( \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\overleftarrow{P}}(X)} - k \right)^2 \right]$$

Let's look at the loss again, for example:

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\vec{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathbf{F}_{\vec{\mathbf{Q}}} \left[\log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{P}}(X)}\right]$$
$$D_{\mathrm{LV}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\vec{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathrm{Var}_{\vec{\pi}} \left[\log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{P}}(X)}\right]$$
$$D_{\mathrm{TB}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\vec{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathbf{F}_{\vec{\pi}} \left[\left(\log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{P}}(X)} - k\right)^{2}\right]$$

e need to simulate the trajectory – expensive!

Let's look at the loss again, for example:

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\vec{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathbf{F}_{\vec{\mathbf{Q}}} \left[\log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{P}}(X)}\right]$$
$$D_{\mathrm{LV}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\vec{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathrm{Var}_{\vec{\pi}} \left[\log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{P}}(X)}\right]$$
$$D_{\mathrm{TB}}[\vec{\mathbf{Q}}||\vec{\mathbf{P}}] = \mathbf{F}_{\vec{\pi}} \left[\left(\log \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{P}}(X)} - k\right)^{2}\right]$$

event to simulate the trajectory – expensive!
Any ways for "simulation-free" training?

#### Simulation-free training of Diffusion Neural samplers

#### Simulation-free training of Diffusion Neural samplers

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

#### Simulation-free training of Diffusion Neural samplers

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

wing a time-dependent normalizing flow
avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

**The first way of sampling**  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

The second way of sampling  $X_0 = F_{\theta}(Z, 0), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

$$X_0 = F_{\theta}(Z, 0), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$$
$$dX_t = \partial_t F_{\theta}(Z, t) dt$$

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

$$X_{0} = F_{\theta}(Z, 0), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$$
$$dX_{t} = \partial_{t} F_{\theta}(Z, t) dt$$
$$Z = F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_{t}, t)$$

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

**The first way of sampling**  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

$$X_0 = F_{\theta}(Z, 0), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$$
$$dX_t = \partial_t F_{\theta} \left( F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_t, t), t \right) dt$$

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

$$X_{0} = F_{\theta}(Z, 0), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$$
$$dX_{t} = \underbrace{\partial_{t} F_{\theta} \left( F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_{t}, t), t \right)}_{\text{Standard form of ODE}} dt$$

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

The second way of sampling  $X_0 = F_{\theta}(Z, 0), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

 $dX_t$ 

$$dX_t = \partial_t F_\theta \left( F_\theta^{-1}(X_t, t), t \right) dt$$
  
=  $\partial_t F_\theta \left( F_\theta^{-1}(X_t, t), t \right) dt$  +  $\sigma_t \sqrt{2} dW_t$ 

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

The second way of sampling  $X_0 = F_{\theta}(Z, 0), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$   $dX_t = \partial_t F_{\theta} (F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_t, t), t) dt$  $dX_t = \partial_t F_{\theta} (F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_t, t), t) dt + \sigma_t^2 \nabla \log q_{\theta}(X_t, t) dt + \sigma_t \sqrt{2} dW_t$ 

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 

The second way of sampling  $X_0 = F_{\theta}(Z, 0), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$   $dX_t = \partial_t F_{\theta} \left( F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_t, t), t \right) dt$  Easily obtained by NF  $dX_t = \partial_t F_{\theta} \left( F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_t, t), t \right) dt + \sigma_t^2 \nabla \log q_{\theta}(X_t, t) dt + \sigma_t \sqrt{2} dW_t$ 

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \ Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$  directly sample from time t

The second way of sampling  $X_0 = F_{\theta}(Z, 0), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$   $dX_t = \partial_t F_{\theta} (F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_t, t), t) dt$  $dX_t = \partial_t F_{\theta} (F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_t, t), t) dt + \sigma_t^2 \nabla \log q_{\theta}(X_t, t) dt + \sigma_t \sqrt{2} dW_t$ 

avoid simulating the trajectory (entirely) during training.

using a time-dependent normalizing flow

Define  $F_{\theta}(\cdot, t)$  as an invertible function

The first way of sampling  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), \quad Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$  directly sample from time tThe second way of sampling  $X_0 = F_{\theta}(Z, 0), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$  Calculate the same loss  $dX_t = \partial_t F_{\theta} (F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_t, t), t) dt$  as other diffusion samplers  $dX_t = \partial_t F_{\theta} (F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_t, t), t) dt + \sigma_t^2 \nabla \log q_{\theta}(X_t, t) dt + \sigma_t \sqrt{2} dW_t$ 

 $\mathrm{d}X_t = \partial_t F_\theta \left( F_\theta^{-1}(X_t, t), t \right) \mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t^2 \nabla \log q_\theta(X_t, t) \mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t \sqrt{2} \mathrm{d}W_t, X_0 \sim p_{\mathrm{prior}}$ 

Align  $dX_t = \partial_t F_\theta \left( F_\theta^{-1}(X_t, t), t \right) dt + \sigma_t^2 \nabla \log q_\theta(X_t, t) dt + \sigma_t \sqrt{2} dW_t, X_0 \sim p_{\text{prior}}$   $dX_t = g(X_t) dt + \sigma_t \sqrt{2} dW_t^{-}, X_T \sim p_{\text{target}}$ 

a simple function, e.g., 0

Align  

$$dX_{t} = \partial_{t}F_{\theta}(F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_{t}, t), t)dt + \sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla\log q_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}, X_{0} \sim p_{\text{prior}}$$
time-reversal  

$$dX_{t} = \partial_{t}F_{\theta}(F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_{t}, t), t)dt - \sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla\log q_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{-}, X_{T} \sim q_{\theta}(\cdot, T)$$

$$dX_{t} = \underbrace{g(X_{t})dt}_{t} + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{-}, X_{T} \sim p_{\text{target}}$$
a simple function, e.g., 0

$$dX_{t} = \partial_{t}F_{\theta}(F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_{t}, t), t)dt + \sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla\log q_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}, X_{0} \sim p_{\text{prior}}$$
  
time-reversal  
$$dX_{t} = \partial_{t}F_{\theta}(F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_{t}, t), t)dt - \sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla\log q_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{-}, X_{T} \sim q_{\theta}(\cdot, T)$$
$$dX_{t} = \underbrace{g(X_{t})dt}_{t} + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{-}, X_{T} \sim p_{\text{target}}$$
a simple function, e.g., 0

Align

$$dX_{t} = \partial_{t}F_{\theta}(F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_{t}, t), t)dt + \sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla \log q_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}, X_{0} \sim p_{\text{prior}}$$
  
time-reversal  
$$dX_{t} = \partial_{t}F_{\theta}(F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_{t}, t), t)dt - \sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla \log q_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{-}, X_{T} \sim q_{\theta}(\cdot, T)$$
  
Align
$$dX_{t} = \underbrace{g(X_{t})dt}_{X_{t}} + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{-}, X_{T} \sim p_{\text{target}}$$
  
a simple function, e.g., 0

#### same direction – Girsanov Theorem applicable

$$dX_{t} = \partial_{t}F_{\theta}(F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_{t}, t), t)dt + \sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla \log q_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}, X_{0} \sim p_{\text{prior}}$$
  
time-reversal  
$$dX_{t} = \partial_{t}F_{\theta}(F_{\theta}^{-1}(X_{t}, t), t)dt - \sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla \log q_{\theta}(X_{t}, t)dt + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{-}, X_{T} \sim q_{\theta}(\cdot, T)$$
  
Align
$$dX_{t} = \underbrace{g(X_{t})dt}_{X_{t}} + \sigma_{t}\sqrt{2}dW_{t}^{-}, X_{T} \sim p_{\text{target}}$$
  
a simple function, e.g., 0

#### same direction – Girsanov Theorem applicable

simulation-free evaluation – can always obtain sample by 1-step  $X_t = F_{\theta}(Z, t), Z \sim p_{\text{base}}$ 







#### Great! How does it perform?

#### 😢 unfortunately...



initialization

#### Great! How does it perform?

#### 😢 unfortunately...



initialization

Why?



initialization

Why?

Objective? 알 same as DDS



initialization

#### Why?

Objective? 🕝 same as DDS Capacity? 🝚 target is so simple



initialization

#### Why?

Objective? 알 same as DDS

Capacity? 알 target is so simple

Network parameterization? 😵 might be the reason



initialization

#### a. DDS/PIS

warm-up initialization

 $f_{\theta}(\cdot, t) = \mathrm{NN}_{1,\theta}(\cdot, t) + \mathrm{NN}_{2,\theta}(t) \circ \nabla \log p_{\mathrm{target}}(\cdot)$  $\approx 0$ 

#### a. DDS/PIS

warm-up initialization

 $f_{\theta}(\cdot, t) = NN_{1,\theta}(\cdot, t) + NN_{2,\theta}(t) \circ \nabla \log p_{\text{target}}(\cdot)$  $\approx 0 \qquad \qquad \text{Langevin gradient}$ 

**b. CMCD/NETS**  $\pi_t(\cdot) = p_{\text{prior}}^{1-\beta}(\cdot)p_{\text{target}}^{\beta}(\cdot)$  Langevin gradient  $dX_t = (f_{\theta}(X_t, t) + \sigma_t^2 \nabla \log \pi_t(X_t))dt + \sqrt{2} \sigma_t dW_t$   $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{Q}_{\theta}}(X)$ 

$$dX_t = (f_{\theta}(X_t, t) - \sigma_t^2 \nabla \log \pi_t(X_t))dt + \sqrt{2} \sigma_t dW_t^- \qquad \overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}}(X)$$

What if we remove this Langevin?  $\mathbf{P}_A(X)$ 

# How to remove Langevin?

#### a. DDS

$$f_{\theta}(\cdot, t) = \mathrm{NN}_{1,\theta}(\cdot, t) + \mathrm{NN}_{2,\theta}(t) \circ \nabla \log p_{\mathrm{target}}(\cdot)$$

#### b. CMCD's Optimality condition (Nelson's relation)

$$D(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_{\theta}^{p_{\text{prior},f_{\theta}+\sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla\log\pi_{t}}}, \overline{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}^{p_{\text{target},f_{\theta}-\sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla\log\pi_{t}}})$$

**But it is not necessary!** 

$$D(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_{\theta}^{p_{\text{prior},f_{\theta}}}}, \overline{\mathbf{P}_{\theta}^{p_{\text{target},f_{\theta}-2\sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla\log\pi_{t}}})$$

# **Empirical Results**

#### a. Langevin precondition is necessary to prevent mode collapse



# **Empirical Results**

#### a. Langevin precondition is necessary to prevent mode collapse



# **Empirical Results**

#### **b.** Does other ways of incorporating the target information help?


### b. Do other ways of incorporating the target information help?



w/o LG, w. distil init



0

40

TB

0

-40

-40

DDS w/o Langevin for GMM-3:



TΒ



#### c. How about sample efficiency?



Figure 2: Sample quality vs target evaluation times for different approaches with different objectives on GMM-40 target. \*NETS uses mode interpolation, which is distinct from that employed in others.

### d. PINN objective is different

- 1. different interpolant
- 2. different prior size
- 3. "consistent" behavior



SOTA MCMC in MD simulation

알 Highly parallel











Figure 2: Sample quality vs target evaluation times for different approaches with different objectives on GMM-40 target. \*NETS uses mode interpolation, which is distinct from that employed in others.

1. We should not hide Langevin gradient used

#### 1. We **should not hide Langevin** gradient used

2. If we need Langevin gradient anyway, we need to **talk about sample efficiency** (we should also be open to initialize using data)

#### 1. We **should not hide Langevin** gradient used

2. If we need Langevin gradient anyway, we need to **talk about sample efficiency** (we should also be open to initialize using data)

3. Improving **PT** is a promising direction (solve challenges with neural network ansatz)

#### 1. We **should not hide Langevin** gradient used

2. If we need Langevin gradient anyway, we need to **talk about sample efficiency** (we should also be open to initialize using data)

3. Improving **PT** is a promising direction (solve challenges with neural network ansatz)

#### 4. Better prior, interpolant, explorative objectives still needed